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Abstract

Mobile location based service applications and users have an increasing need for access to geospatial data from any place in the world, including locations with limited or intermittent connectivity to communications networks. Maintaining consistency between copies of the same data held by different mobile devices can be a significant challenge when connectivity is limited or intermittent. This OGC Engineering Report describes the work carried out in OGC Testbed-11¹ in relation to the creation and synchronization of SQLite databases that conform to the OGC GeoPackage standard². This Engineering Report describes an approach for the use of various standards to achieve such synchronization. The document also presents the results and lessons learnt from the experimentation conducted in the Testbed.

Business Value

This OGC Engineering Report describes approaches that could improve interoperability by enhancing the ability of different geospatial software products to exchange and synchronize geospatial data. The recommendations contained in this Engineering Report are important to achieving interoperability in location-based technologies where mobile applications are often required to operate under conditions of limited or intermittent connectivity to communications networks.
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¹ URL to TB 11 description is http://www.opengeospatial.org/projects/initiatives/testbed11
² URL to GeoPackage standard is http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/geopackage
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1 Introduction

The Urban Climate Resilience (UCR) thread of OGC Testbed-11 sought to respond to the need to make climate information and related data readily available for the public and government decision makers to prepare for changes in the Earth’s climate. The GeoPackage activity in the UCR thread set out to explore geopackage synchronization while also advancing the storage of data into geopackages. The activity also set out to identify requirements for standardizing support for synchronization. Testbed participants successfully designed and implemented an approach to demonstrate the creation and synchronization of geopackages. The implementation of the approach has provided lessons and a potential schema for a GeoPackage extension to support synchronization. The participants have also identified an approach for documenting metadata that references the source of a dataset that has been packaged. These and related aspects of the UCR thread are the subject of this report.

1.1 Scope

The goal of this OGC Engineering Report is to describe the work carried out in the OGC Testbed-11 for the creation and synchronization of SQLite databases that conform to the OGC GeoPackage standard. Data synchronization can be defined as the process of establishing consistency of data between different systems and maintenance of that data over time. This document describes an approach for the packaging and synchronization of such databases. The document also presents the results and lessons learnt from the experimentation conducted in OGC Testbed 11.

The content of this document is applicable to initiatives involving mobile computing and other technologies that operate with intermittent or limited connection to communications networks.

1.2 Background

In recent years, the increasing popularity in location based services has led to a greater need for standardization of the mechanisms used to store geospatial data on mobile devices. The OGC responded to this need with the development of the GeoPackage standard [1]. The target database for GeoPackage is SQLite, thereby making a GeoPackage data package useable on mobile operating systems such as Android and Apple iOS.
Since approval of GeoPackage as an OGC standard, testbed activities relating to GeoPackage have progressed from standardizing geospatial data storage to exploring how geopackages could be generated using OGC web services such as the Web Processing Service (WPS). Testbed-11 builds upon the achievements of recent OGC testbeds by exploring how synchronization of geopackages can be achieved.

1.3 Document contributor contact points

All questions regarding this document should be directed to the editor or the contributors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gobe Hobona PhD.</td>
<td>Envitia Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Brackin</td>
<td>Envitia Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Clark</td>
<td>Compusult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Houtmeyers</td>
<td>Luciad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Verlinden</td>
<td>Luciad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingo Simonis</td>
<td>Open Geospatial Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Wesloh</td>
<td>NGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adel Bolbol PhD.</td>
<td>Envitia Ltd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 Future work

No improvements to this document are planned.

1.5 Forward

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

2 References

The following documents are referenced in this document. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.
1. OGC 12-128r11, OGC Geopackage Encoding Standard - With Corregendum
2. OGC 06-121r3, OpenGIS® Web Services Common Standard
3. OGC 12-119r1, OWS-9: OGC Mobile Apps: Definition, Requirements, and Information Architecture
4. OGC 14-058r1, Testbed-10 GeoPackage Engineering Report
5. OGC 12-080r2, OGC OWS Context Conceptual Model

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this report, the definitions specified in Clause 4 of the OWS Common Implementation Specification [2] and in OpenGIS® Abstract Specification shall apply. In addition, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1 change-only geopackage
An instance of a geopackage that contains only the changes made since the data was checked out of the primary database.

3.2 geopackage (all lowercase)
An instance of a database that conforms to the GeoPackage standard.

3.3 GeoPackage
The OGC standard for a platform-independent SQLite database file that may contain vector geospatial features, tile matrix sets of raster maps at various scales and metadata.

3.4 handheld mobile computing device
A small, portable computing device, typically having a display screen with touch input and/or a miniature keyboard and weighing less than 2 pounds (0.91 kg). A handheld computing device has an operating system (OS), and can run various types of application software, known as apps. Definition adapted from OGC 12-119r1 [3].

3.5 interoperability
Capability to communicate, execute programs or transfer data among various functional units in a manner that requires the user to have little or no knowledge of the unique characteristics of those units.

3.6 mobile application
Mobile applications (mobile apps) are software products developed for mobile devices which may include handheld devices, such as smart phones, or wearable devices, such as
smart watches. These applications can be pre-installed on the devices during manufacture, downloaded by customers from various mobile software distribution platforms, or delivered as web applications using server-side or client-side processing.

3.7 **wearable mobile computing device**  
A mobile computer that is worn on the body and forms part of one’s clothing.

4 Conventions

4.1 Abbreviated terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCR</td>
<td>Urban Climate Resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Engineering Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GML</td>
<td>Geography Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSS</td>
<td>GeoSynchronization Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Internet Engineering Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIME</td>
<td>Multi-Purpose Internet Mail Extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSG</td>
<td>National System for Geospatial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGC</td>
<td>Open Geospatial Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI</td>
<td>Spatial Data Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFS</td>
<td>Web Feature Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS</td>
<td>Web Processing Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 **GeoPackage versus geopackage**

This Engineering Report uses the term “GeoPackage” to refer to the standard and the term “geopackage” to refer to an instance of a database that conforms to the standard. This convention is intended to make it easier for readers of this report and does not reflect an official OGC convention.
5 Previous Work

OGC Testbed (Testbed-10) experimented with using a Web Processing Service (WPS) to create a geopackage and then developed a GeoSynchronization Service (GSS) to keep the data within the geopackage up-to-date [4].

Experimentation within Testbed-10 examined the creation of geopackages as a special case of synchronization. This approach is the user case where moving an entire dataset from one system to another the process effectively resembles a synchronization activity where one system does not have any data.

The Testbed participants discovered, however, that the approach could be problematic because synchronization requires more than just data packaging and transfer. The participants concluded that treating synchronization and creation of geopackages as separate functions was the best approach to take. This lesson was carried into the GeoPackage activities in OGC Testbed-11.

OGC Testbed-10 activity resulted in additional observations relating to the GeoPackage standard, such as the need for a GeoPackage Multi-purpose Internet Mail Extension (MIME) type and the need for standardizing where bounding boxes of raster tiles are specified in geopackages. These observations have also informed the activities of OGC Testbed-11.

6 Methodology

To achieve the objectives for the GeoPackage activity, the experimentation conducted in Testbed-11 followed the following process:

1. Review data sources
2. Identify data and services to support the use case
3. Configure individual components to support synchronization
4. Assess interoperability and identify lessons to learn

7 Use Case

Testbed-11 implemented a use case to explore synchronization of geopackages. The use case was designed with situations of limited or intermittent connectivity in mind. In such situations, a snapshot of an offline database is required. A scenario where field workers may download data before going out into the field is used. Once in the field, they work on local copies of the data. If two field workers meet in the field, data needs to be synchronized between the two using a direct device-to-device connection. Once back home, field workers can synchronize their local copies with the enterprise system. The use case applied in this scenario is as follows:
Actors:

- Mobile Client 1
- Mobile Client 2
- GeoPackaging WPS (includes synchronization capabilities)
- Transactional Web Feature Service (WFS)

Phase 1 Basic Steps:

1. Mobile Client 1 sends a request to the GeoPackaging WPS to package data from the WFS.

2. GeoPackaging WPS sends a request to the referenced WFS for the initial version of the data

3. WFS returns a response, containing GML-encoded data, back to the GeoPackaging WPS

4. GeoPackaging WPS returns a response, containing GeoPackage-encoded data, back to the Mobile Client 1

Phase 2 Basic Steps:

1. Mobile Client 2 sends a request to the GeoPackaging WPS to package data from the WFS.

2. GeoPackaging WPS sends a request to the referenced WFS for the initial version of the data

3. WFS returns a response, containing GML-encoded data, back to the GeoPackaging WPS

4. GeoPackaging WPS returns a response, containing GeoPackage-encoded data, back to the Mobile Client 2

Phase 3 Basic Steps (Peer-to-Peer Synchronization):

1. Mobile Client 1 updates the locally stored data

2. Mobile Client 2 sends a request for changed data to Mobile Client 1

3. Mobile Client 1 returns a change-only geopackage to Mobile Client 2
4. Mobile Client 2 updates their locally stored data

Phase 4 Basic Steps (Peer-to-Enterprise Synchronization):

1. Mobile Client 1 updates the locally stored data

2. Mobile Client 1 sends a request to the GeoPackaging WPS to upload changes into a transactional WFS

3. GeoPackaging WPS reads the geopackage received from Mobile Client 1

4. For each change registered in the geopackage received from Mobile Client 1, the GeoPackaging WPS sends transaction requests to the transactional WFS

5. The transactional WFS returns the result of each transaction to the GeoPackaging WFS

6. GeoPackaging WPS returns the results of the transactions to Mobile Client 1

7. Mobile Client 2 retrieves the updated data
8 Implementation

This section describes the implementation issues encountered.

8.1 Component Descriptions

The following components were used in this aspect of the testbed.

8.1.1 Mobile Client 1: Luciad GeoPackage Synchronization Client

Mobile Client 1 was implemented using the Luciad GeoPackage synchronization client which offered integration and testing of the GeoPackage production and synchronization services. The client also offered integration and testing of the implementation of the synchronization use case. The client is based on Luciad’s Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) product LuciadMobile, which is targeted towards mobile devices running the...
Android operating system. A screenshot of the client implement for Testbed 11 is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. A screenshot of Mobile Client 1 implemented using LuciadMobile](image_url)

The product offers a set of capabilities that were used directly in Testbed 11, for example a 2D geospatial map with map interaction controllers, support for the visualization of raster data (images & elevation) and vector data served by a variety of data sources, as well as support for OGC GeoPackage. Additional functionality was implemented to address the GeoPackage synchronization requirements in the Urban Client Resilience (UCR) thread:

- Support for OWS Context [5] to describe data sources.
- Client interface to connect with Envitia’s GeoPackaging WPS, to request GeoPackage data based on a data source description in OWS Context.
- Client interface to connect with Envitia’s GeoPackaging WPS, to push back any local GeoPackage data changes to the server.
- Client interface to synchronize local GeoPackage data with another mobile device over Bluetooth. This relied on an Android Bluetooth communication library provided by Compusult.
- Implementation of the relevant use case.

---

8.1.2 Mobile Client 2: Compusult GeoPackage Synchronization Client

Mobile Client 2 was implemented using the Compusult GO Mobile client to integrate and test the GeoPackage production and synchronization services. GO Mobile is a mobile application for devices such as the iPhone, iPad, Android phones and Android-based tablets. The client offers users the ability to access products through geospatial web services. It also provides users with the option of sharing information with others through the mobile device, as well as enabling officials to use their devices for Situational Awareness and Common Operating Picture functions. A screenshot of the client implement for Testbed 11 is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. A screenshot of Mobile Client 2 implemented using GO Mobile](image)

A number of capabilities of the product were demonstrated in the testbed, for example:

- Viewing WFS features and metadata
- Viewing GeoPackage features and metadata
- Editing GeoPackage feature metadata
- Peer to Peer synchronization of geopackages

8.1.3 Envitia GeoPackaging WPS

The GeoPackaging Web Processing Service (WPS) requirement was implemented using Envitia MapLink Pro, a visualization toolkit that can also be deployed in a web server to offer a WPS. Upon receipt of an OWS Context document, the WPS creates a geopackage that contains the data referenced in the OWS Context document. On the server-side each
generated geopackage can be persisted to allow for access at a later point in time. The Envitia Spatial Data Manager shown in Figure 4, which is part of Envitia Discovery, is used to monitor and manage geopackages persisted in the WPS. The GeoPackaging WPS was extended to offer a synchronization process as described later in this report. The interface to the synchronization process was extended to allow for ingest of requests that contain an OWS Context document embedded within a request rather than the document referenced from a remote server, as had been implemented in the previous testbed.

![Figure 4. A screenshot of the Envitia Spatial Data Manager component in Envitia Discovery](image)

To support synchronization, the GeoPackaging WPS was extended to allow for processing geopackages received as base64 binary embedded within an OWS Context document as text. The service was also extended to enable the automated creation and storage of sample ISO 19115 metadata within a geopackage. The service was configured to allow for responses encoded as geopackages (i.e. with the MIME type of application/vnd.ogc.gpkg).

### 8.1.4 CubeWerx Transactional WFS

The transactional WFS was implemented using the CubeWerx CubeSERV WFS. The product supports transactional operations such as INSERT, UPDATE, DELETE and LOCK operations. As well as transactional operations, the service also supports query operations.

### 8.2 Creating geopackages on the WPS

The following example request triggers a GeoPackaging process. The request returns a hyperlink to a geopackage that has been generated and stored on the server. The request
uses the wps:Output element with the asReference attribute set to "true" in order to produce the hyperlinked output.

```xml
<wps:Execute service="WPS" version="1.0.0"
xmlns:wps="http://www.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0"
xmlns:ows="http://www.opengis.net/ows/1.1"
xmlns:xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0
http://schemas.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0/wpsExecute_request.xsd">
  <ows:Identifier>GeoPackaging</ows:Identifier>
  <wps:DataInputs>
    <wps:Input>
      <ows:Identifier>OWSContext</ows:Identifier>
      <ows:Title>OWS Context document</ows:Title>
      <wps:Data>
        <wps:ComplexData mimeType="text/xml">
          <feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
            xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
            xmlns:georss="http://www.georss.org/georss"
            xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
            xmlns:owc="http://www.opengis.net/owc/1.0"
            xml:lang="en">
            <category
              scheme="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc-specReference"
              term="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc/1.0/req/atom"
              label="This file is compliant with version 1.0 of OGC Context"/>
            <title>OGC Testbed Sample Requests</title>
            <id>http://www.opengeospatial.org</id>
            <author>
              <name>OGC</name>
            </author>
            <updated>2012-02-03T12:00:30Z</updated>
            <dc:publisher>OGC</dc:publisher>
            <entry>
              <id>http://127.0.0.1:8080/geoserver/tb11/ows/tb11/edges</id>
              <title>tb11_edges</title>
              <dc:publisher>Envitia</dc:publisher>
              <updated>2012-02-03T12:00:30Z</updated>
              <owc:offering
                code="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc-atom/1.0/req/wfs"
                owc:operation method="GET"
                href="http://127.0.0.1:8080/geoserver/tb11/ows?service=WFS&version=1.1.0&request=GetFeature&typeName=tb11:edges&maxFeatures=100"/>
            </entry>
          </feed>
        </wps:ComplexData>
      </wps:Data>
    </wps:Input>
  </wps:DataInputs>
</wps:Execute>
```
An alternative approach is to return the geopackage as the actual response (i.e. with the 
MIME type of application/vnd.ogc.gpkg). This alternative approach uses the 
wps:RawDataOutput element as illustrated below:

```xml
<ows:Identifier>GeoPackaging</ows:Identifier>
<wps:DataInputs>
  <wps:Input>
    <ows:Identifier>OWSContext</ows:Identifier>
    <ows:Title>OWS Context document</ows:Title>
    <wps:Data>
      <wps:ComplexData mimeType="text/xml">
        <feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
          xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
          xmlns:georss="http://www.georss.org/georss"
          xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
          xmlns:owc="http://www.opengis.net/owc/1.0"
          xml:lang="en">
          <category scheme="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc-specReference"
            term="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc-specReference"
            label="This file is compliant with version 1.0 of OGC Context"/>
          <title>OGC Testbed Sample Requests</title>
          <id>http://www.opengeospatial.org</id>
          <author>
            <name>OGC</name>
          </author>
          <updated>2012-02-03T12:00:30Z</updated>
          <dc:publisher>OGC</dc:publisher>
        </feed>
      </wps:ComplexData mimeType="text/xml">
      <id>http://127.0.0.1:8080/geoserver/tb11/ows/tb11/edges</id>
      <title>tb11_edges</title>
      <dc:publisher>Envitia</dc:publisher>
      <updated>2012-02-03T12:00:30Z</updated>
      <owc:offering code="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc-atom/1.0/req/wfs"/>
```
8.3 Logging Changes

The following model is used by the WPS for logging changes and keeping track of revisions.

```sql
CREATE TABLE "gpkg_change_log" (    "change_id" INTEGER NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY AUTOINCREMENT,    "table_name" TEXT NOT NULL,    "feature_uuid" TEXT NOT NULL,    "change_type" TEXT NOT NULL,    "change_date" DATETIME NOT NULL DEFAULT (strftime('%Y-%m-%dT%H:%M:%fZ', 'now')),    "revision_uuid" TEXT );

CREATE TABLE "gpkg_feature_uuids" (    "table_name" TEXT NOT NULL,    "feature_id" INTEGER NOT NULL,    "feature_uuid" TEXT NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY );

CREATE TABLE "gpkg_revisions" (    "revision_uuid" TEXT NOT NULL,    "parent_revision_uuid" TEXT );
```

The following is an example insert statement for logging an update to a feature. Within the scenario and use case described in Section 7, the change would be logged by the
client application. When an existing feature is modified the change type is set to ‘UPDATE’ and when a new feature is created the change type is set to ‘INSERT’.

```sql
INSERT INTO gpkg_change_log (change_id, table_name, feature_uuid, change_type, change_date) VALUES(0, 'moz__maputo__osm__edgesedgesType', 'dacb87d3-2f7f-4841-a092-80fdbus369210', 'UPDATE', '2015-03-12 10:01:00');
```

```sql
INSERT INTO gpkg_feature_uuids (table_name, feature_id, feature_uuid) VALUES ('moz__maputo__osm__edgesedgesType', 0, 'dacb87d3-2f7f-4841-a092-80fdbus369210');
```

In the previous example gpkg_change_log.revision_uuid is left ‘null’ until synchronization occurs. When a geopackage is first created it is given an initial revision_uuid with no parent_revision_uuid, as illustrated in the following SQL statement.

```sql
INSERT INTO gpkg_revisions (revision_uuid) VALUES ('41cc06c5-e954-4976-9f9a-e55a3a2a208e');
```

When synchronization occurs, a new revision_uuid is generated and parent_revision_uuid becomes the latest revision_uuid from the table, as illustrated in the following SQL statement.

```sql
INSERT INTO gpkg_revisions (revision_uuid, parent_revision_uuid) VALUES ('4200197c-e2c9-4b62-91b4-8c0f516526e5', '41cc06c5-e954-4976-9f9a-e55a3a2a208e');
```

All outstanding changes in the gpkg_change_log are now marked with this revision_uuid, since they have all been synchronized, as illustrated in the following SQL statement.

```sql
UPDATE gpkg_change_log SET revision_uuid = '4200197c-e2c9-4b62-91b4-8c0f516526e5' WHERE revision_uuid IS NULL;
```

Please note that multiple changes in gpkg_change_log table can have the same revision uuid (i.e. all the changes that need to be synchronized as part of the same revision).

The application of the change logging is further explained through the illustration in Figure 5. The illustration follows the lifecycle of a single change as it is created and synchronized between multiple devices. For ease of reading, the UUIDs have been shortened to four characters.
The following tables document how the identifiers are used to record changes and revisions. An example of the gpkg_revisions table, at the time the geopackage is created, is shown in Table 1. Note that the parent_revision_uuid value is ‘null’.

Table 1. gpkg_revisions example at creation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>revision_uuid</th>
<th>parent_revision_uuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>de13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the time of geopackage creation, the gpkg_change_log table does not have any entries. This is because none of the features in the geopackage have been modified at this stage. Table 2 illustrates this table at the time the geopackage is created.

Table 2. gpkg_change_log table example at creation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>change_id</th>
<th>table_name</th>
<th>table_version</th>
<th>feature_id</th>
<th>feature_version</th>
<th>change_type</th>
<th>change_date</th>
<th>revision_uuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When a change is made to a feature, an entry is inserted into the gpkg_change_log table. The revision_uuid is set to null at this stage, because the geopackage has not yet been synchronized. This is illustrated in Table 3.

Table 3. gpkg_change_log table example at data entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>change_id</th>
<th>table_name</th>
<th>table_version</th>
<th>feature_id</th>
<th>feature_version</th>
<th>change_type</th>
<th>change_date</th>
<th>revision_uuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tiger_poly_landmarks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UPDATE</td>
<td>2015-03-13T14:06:00.030Z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the first device (held by user 1) comes into contact with the second device (held by user 2), the changes made to the geopackage in the second device are transferred to the first device. As illustrated in Table 4, a new revision_uuid is then issued and assigned the value of the previous revision_uuid as the parent_revision_uuid.

Table 4. gpkg_revisions example at data entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>revision_uuid</th>
<th>parent_revision_uuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>de13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asjk</td>
<td>de13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After synchronization the revision_uuids in the gpkg_change_log table are updated to identify which revision the changes have been applied to. This is illustrated in Table 5.

Table 5. gpkg_change_log table example at data entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>change_id</th>
<th>table_name</th>
<th>table_version</th>
<th>feature_id</th>
<th>feature_version</th>
<th>change_type</th>
<th>change_date</th>
<th>revision_uuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tiger_poly_landmark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UPDATE</td>
<td>2015-03-13T14:06:00.030Z</td>
<td>asjk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tiger_poly_landmark</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UPDATE</td>
<td>2015-03-13T14:08:00.030Z</td>
<td>asjk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.4 Sending Changes Back from the Client to the WPS

Once the changes have been logged, the client application can create a copy of the geopackage with only the changed features included. This “change-only geopackage” then needs to be sent from the Client application to the WPS. To enable the embedding of a geopackage inside the payload of an OWS Context document, the change-only geopackage was encoded as Base64 text. This encoding may be referred to as ‘base64’.

The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) offers the following description of base64: “The base64 encoding is designed to represent arbitrary sequences of octets in a form that allows the use of both upper- and lowercase letters but that need not be human readable...A 65-character subset of US-ASCII is used, enabling 6 bits to be represented per printable character....The encoding process represents 24-bit groups of input bits as output strings of 4 encoded characters. Proceeding from left to right, a 24-bit input group is formed by concatenating 3 8-bit input groups. These 24 bits are then treated as 4 concatenated 6-bit groups, each of which is translated into a single character in the base 64 alphabet”.

The following is an example request to synchronize changes from a change-only geopackage to a transactional WFS.

```xml
<wps:Execute service="WPS" version="1.0.0"
xmlns:wps="http://www.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0"
xmlns:ows="http://www.opengis.net/ows/1.1"
xmlns:xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0 http://schemas.opengis.net/wps/1.0.0/wpsExecute_request.xsd">
  <ows:Identifier>GeoSynchronize</ows:Identifier>
  <wps:DataInputs>
    <wps:Input>
      <ows:Identifier>OWSContext</ows:Identifier>
      <ows:Title>OWS Context document</ows:Title>
      <wps:Data>
        <wps:ComplexData mimeType="text/xml">
          <feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
            xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
            xmlns:georss="http://www.georss.org/georss"
            xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
            xmlns:owc="http://www.opengis.net/owc/1.0"
            xml:lang="en">
            <category scheme="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc/specReference"
              term="http://www.opengis.net/spec/owc/1.0/req/atom"
            ...
          </feed>
        </wps:ComplexData>
      </wps:Data>
    </wps:Input>
  </wps:DataInputs>
</wps:Execute>
```

---

4 https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt
The Testbed participants examined different approaches for directing the changes from the geopackage to a WFS. The first approach determines the namespace and feature type name from the OWS Context entry. The second approach determines the namespace and feature type name from the gpkg_metadata table. After discussion by the Testbed participants, it was determined that the first approach would not be appropriate because it would limit updates to a single feature type per OWS Context entry (potentially leading to duplication of entries). The second approach was therefore selected for the testbed. A sample metadata document describing a feature dataset that has been packaged is shown in the following example:
<MD_Metadata xmlns="http://www.isotc211.org/2005/gmd"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xmlns:gco="http://www.isotc211.org/2005/gco"
xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.isotc211.org/2005/gmd
../../../../../../iso/19139/20060504/gmd/gmd.xsd"
uuid="US_NGA_VPF_VMAP0" id="VMAP0">
  <fileIdentifier>
    <gco:CharacterString>2345-aa002-edges57</gco:CharacterString>
  </fileIdentifier>
  <language>
    <gco:CharacterString>eng</gco:CharacterString>
  </language>
  <hierarchyLevel>
    <MD_ScopeCode codeList="http://www.isotc211.org/2005/...codeList.xml#MD_ScopeCode"
    codeListValue="dataset"/>
  </hierarchyLevel>
  <contact>
    <CI_ResponsibleParty>
      <organisationName>
        <gco:CharacterString>OGC</gco:CharacterString>
      </organisationName>
      <role>
        <CI_RoleCode codeList="http://www.isotc211.org/2005/...codeList.xml#CI_RoleCode"
        codeListValue="publisher"/>
      </role>
    </CI_ResponsibleParty>
  </contact>
  <!-- Date the metadata was created -->
  <dateStamp>
    <gco:Date>2004-03-14</gco:Date>
  </dateStamp>
  <metadataStandardName>
    <gco:CharacterString>ISO19115</gco:CharacterString>
  </metadataStandardName>
  <metadataStandardVersion>
    <gco:CharacterString>2003/Cor.1:2006</gco:CharacterString>
  </metadataStandardVersion>
  <!-- IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION -->
  <identificationInfo>
    <MD_DataIdentification>
      <citation>
        <CI_Citation>
          <title>
            <gco:CharacterString>Maputo Road Network Edges</gco:CharacterString>
          </title>
          <date>
            <CI_Date>
              <date>2000-09-03</date>
            </CI_Date>
          </date>
        </CI_Citation>
      </citation>
    </MD_DataIdentification>
  </identificationInfo>
</MD_Metadata>
Maputo road transportation network edges generated from Open Street Map
An explanation of the relevant information in the metadata is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>XPath</th>
<th>Role in gpkg_metadata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>feature type identifier</td>
<td>MD_Metadata/identificationInfo/MD_DataIdentification/citation/CI_Citation</td>
<td>The namespace-qualified identifier of the feature type that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key</strong></td>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>west bounding coordinate</td>
<td>MD_Metadata/identificationInfo/MD_DataIdentification/extent/EX_Extent/geographicElement/EX_GeographicBoundingBox/westBoundLongitude</td>
<td>The longitude furthest west.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>south bounding coordinate</td>
<td>MD_Metadata/identificationInfo/MD_DataIdentification/extent/EX_Extent/geographicElement/EX_GeographicBoundingBox/southBoundLatitude</td>
<td>The latitude furthest South.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>east bounding coordinate</td>
<td>MD_Metadata/identificationInfo/MD_DataIdentification/extent/EX_Extent/geographicElement/EX_GeographicBoundingBox/eastBoundLongitude</td>
<td>The longitude furthest East.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>north bounding coordinate</td>
<td>MD_Metadata/identificationInfo/MD_DataIdentification/extent/EX_Extent/geographicElement/EX_GeographicBoundingBox/northBoundLatitude</td>
<td>The latitude furthest North.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| resource linkage                | MD_Metadata/distributionInfo/MD_Distribution/distributor/MD_Distributor/distributorTransferOptions/MD_DigitalTransferOptions/online/CI_OnlineResource/linkage/URL | The URL from which the feature dataset can be downloaded. 
Notice that in this case the URL is a GetFeature KVP request |

### 9 Discussion

This Testbed activity set out to explore synchronization while also advancing the packaging of data into geopackages. The successful implementation of a GeoPackaging WPS that is configured through an OWS Context document supported the assertion that OWS Context documents are appropriate for triggering processes to package geospatial data. However, the activity also highlighted the need for standardizing how binary content, such as a geopackage itself, can be carried as a payload in an OWS Context document. The testbed successfully demonstrated how this could be done using base64 and thus an important lesson identified in this thread is that there is a need to standardize how binary content is carried in OWS Context documents.

The testbed also set out to identify requirements for standardizing support for synchronization. The successful implementation of software components to demonstrate a synchronization use case supported the identification of a table schema to support the synchronization. The testbed designed and implemented the proposed tables that include
a table for logging changes and a set of associated tables to uniquely identify revisions. The approach was successfully applied to synchronization between a mobile device and an enterprise server (WFS), as well as being applied between two different mobile devices. An important lesson identified in this aspect of the testbed was that an extension to the GeoPackage standard that specifies tables for logging changes and identifying revisions is required to standardize synchronization support in geopackages.

In addition to identifying tables for recording changes, the testbed also considered and identified an approach for documenting metadata that references the source of a dataset that has been packaged. The components were configured to support such metadata and the testbed demonstrated how these enabled the use case described in this report.

10 Conclusions

This Engineering Report describes the work carried out in the UCR thread in OGC Testbed-11 to advance the packaging and synchronization of geospatial data into SQLite databases that conform to the OGC GeoPackage standard. Also presented are the results and lessons identified from the experimentation conducted by the testbed. The UCR Thread participants conclude that web services based on the WPS standard can be configured to produce geopackages according to specifications of resources provided by OWS Context documents. Further, base64-serialized geopackages can be successfully sent wrapped in an OWS context entries. Finally, the GeoPackage standard can be extended to support synchronization by introducing tables to log changes and to uniquely identify revisions.

10.1 Recommendations

This engineering report makes the following recommendations:

10.1.1 Standardize how binary content is carried in OWS Context documents

Testbed-11 demonstrated how a geopackage serialized in base64 text could be sent wrapped in an OWS Context entry. The approach was also observed to be applicable to other binary content such as imagery (e.g. GeoTIFF, JPEG2000 etc.) by specifying the MIME type of the binary content. As the current version of the OWS Context standard does not specify an approach for carrying binary content within context documents, this engineering report recommends that future versions of OWS Context standardize the use of base64 for wrapping binary content inside such documents.

10.1.2 Extend GeoPackage to Standardize Tables for Supporting Synchronization

Testbed-11 demonstrated how synchronization between geopackages can be achieved. The TB also demonstrated how synchronization between a geopackage and an enterprise server could also be achieved. The successful implementation and demonstration of this capability shows that extension of the GeoPackage standard using the tables described in
Section 8 can offer a standardized approach for synchronization involving geopackages. Therefore the development of a synchronization extension of the GeoPackage standard is recommended.

10.1.3 Develop a Best Practice for a GeoPackaging Profile of WPS

Recent testbeds, including Testbed-11, have generated a wealth of evidence supporting the use of WPS for creating geopackages. As a result of this experience and the increasing popularity of the GeoPackage standard, the OGC should develop a Best Practice for a GeoPackaging Profile of WPS.
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