

All Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

<b>Change Request #:</b>	268
<b>Assigned OGC Document #:</b>	13-005
<b>Name:</b>	*Gobe Hobona
<b>Organization:</b>	*Envitia
<b>Email:</b>	*gobe.hobona@envitia.com
<b>Document Name/Version:</b>	*Web Processing Service / 1.0.0
<b>OGC Project Document:</b>	*05-007r7
If this is a revision of a previous submission and you have a Change Request Number, then check here: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Enter the CR number here: <input type="text"/>	
Enter the Revision Number that you are revising here: <input type="text"/>	
<hr/>	
<b>Title:</b> ⓘ	* <input type="text" value="[WPS 2.0 SWG] Additional metadata for WPS Process Descriptions"/>
<b>Source:</b> ⓘ	*OWS-9 CCI
<b>Work item code:</b> ⓘ	
<b>Category:</b> ⓘ	* <input type="text" value="B (Addition of feature)"/>
<hr/>	
<b>Reason for change:</b> ⓘ	* This issue arose from the OWS-9 CCI Semantic Mediation activity [OGC 12-103] during the development of a WPS wrapper for SPARQL Servers. The issue however also applies to all WPS implementations. The <code>ows:MetadataType</code> class provided by OWS Common is used within the WPS Process Description. However, the <code>ows:MetadataType</code> class is severely limited when the metadata is needed to be within the <code>wps:DescribeProcess</code> response document. That is, rather than link to an external document as is currently the case, some use cases may require the metadata to be presented within the <code>DescribeProcess</code> response itself. In such use cases, the current structure of the <code>wps:ProcessDescription</code> and its use of the <code>ows:MetadataType</code> classes is limited.

<b>Summary of change:</b> ⓘ	<p><b>*</b></p> <p>The wps:DescribeProcess should be revised to support a choice of ows:MetadataType, gmd:MD_Metadata or gmd:CI_Citation. That is a choice in XSD terms where implementations can only use one of the options provided. The latter classes are provided by ISO 19115.</p>
<b>Consequences if not approved:</b> ⓘ	<p>The WPS processes described will end up being used inappropriately by service consumers, potentially leading to a loss of trust in the processes being offered by service providers.</p>
<hr/>	
<b>Clauses affected:</b> ⓘ	<p><b>*</b></p> <p>OGC 05-007r7 section 9.3</p>
<b>Additional Documents affected:</b> ⓘ	
<b>Supporting Documentation:</b> ⓘ	
<b>Comments:</b> ⓘ	
<b>Status:</b> ⓘ	<input type="text" value="Assigned"/>
<b>Assigned To:</b> ⓘ	<input type="text" value="WPS 2.0 SWG"/>
<b>Disposition:</b> ⓘ	<input type="text" value="Referred and Posted"/>