

All Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Change Request #:	225
Assigned OGC Document #:	12-078
Name:	*Peter Parslow
Organization:	*Ordnance Survey of Great Britain
Email:	*peter.parslow@ordnancesurvey.co.uk
Document Name/Version:	*SWE Common Data Model Encoding Standard / 2.0
OGC Project Document:	*08-094r1
<p>If this is a revision of a previous submission and you have a Change Request Number, then check here: <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Enter the CR number here: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Enter the Revision Number that you are revising here: <input type="text"/></p>	
<hr/>	
Title:	* Clarify Time type(s)
Source:	*self
Work item code:	
Category:	* C (Functional modification of feature)
<hr/>	
Reason for change:	<p>* Section 7.2 states a dependence on ISO 19103, explicitly including the statement that ISO 19103 Time & DateTime are used directly. However, at 7.2.9, the specification defines its own Time class (in the Simple Components package).</p> <p>Similarly, the timePositionType is "A minor variation on gml:TimePositionUnion" (annotation in XSD) - is the benefit of this non-standard approach worth while?</p> <p>This has lead to confusion when using the class: when is it useful/ necessary / appropriate to use the SWE Time class, and when is the ISO Time class more relevant?</p>
Summary of change:	<p>* Either drop the SWE Time class, or clearly document the circumstances in which it should be used in preference to ISO 19103 Time.</p> <p>If it is kept, correct the definitions: the XML at 8.1.8 & in the schema defines Time.value as type=swe:TimePosition, and this is a few times in the text. However, the UML at Figure 7.13 uses TM_Position, which implies the standard ISO 19108 class & therefore the</p>

	standard GML encoding.
Consequences if not approved: ⓘ	Continued confusion when creating application schemas that use SWE (e.g. for INSPIRE)
<hr/>	
Clauses affected: ⓘ	* 7.2, 7.13, 8.1.8
Additional Documents affected: ⓘ	potentially, the XSDs
Supporting Documentation: ⓘ	See also CR 10-077 Comment 5
Comments: ⓘ	Apologies for raising this "personally", our OGC contact points (Carsten Roensdorf, Peter ter Haar) are otherwise occupied.
Status: ⓘ	Assigned ▾
Assigned To: ⓘ	SWECommonSWG ▾
Disposition: ⓘ	Referred and Posted ▾