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Reason for change: 
Change is necessary in order to clarify the specification, affecting content of capabilities document and GetRecords requests correctness, in regard to the correct value for the parameter typeNames ("typeNames" or "typeName")?

Summary of change: 
There is ambiguity in the specification regarding to the correct name of parameter TypeNames of GetRecords operation ("typeNames" or "typeName")?

Summing up, we have the following occurrences in the specifications:
typeNames
OGC 07-006r1 pag.144 (describing the KVP)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.146 (describing the XML encoding)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.149 (describing the typeNames parameter)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.150 (describing the ElementName parameter)
OGC 07-045 pag.46
OGC 07-045 pag.68 (describing the KVP)
OGC 07-045 pag.71

typeName
OGC 07-006r1 pag.140 (describing the ParameterName parameter)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.142 (describing the GetRecords operation)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.147 (describing the NAMESPACE parameter)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.150 (describing the ElementName parameter, 9 occurrences)
OGC 07-006r1 pag.200 (describing the Capabilities document)
OGC 07-045 pag.46
OGC 07-045 pag.63
OGC 07-045 pag.71
OGC 07-045 pag.114 (describing the Capabilities document)
OGC 07-045 pag.122

However, the parameter it is for sure encoded as "typeNames", both in KVP and in XML schema, so perhaps it would be better to amend the specification accordingly.