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**Title:** decimal fraction separator specification

**Source:** KML 2.2 SWG

**Work item code:**

**Category:** D (Editorial modification)

**Reason for change:**

Ongoing complaints about problems in locales where the decimal fraction separator is a comma, which interferes with the separator specified for <coordinates>.

**Summary of change:**

In all places where this is relevant, it should be explicitly stated
the for the purpose of this specification, only the dot (.), ASCII code 0x2E, is allowed.

I agree that this is obvious, but it is always a good thing to be able to refer to an explicit clause in the specification, when complaints occur.

Consequences if not approved: Misunderstanding will not diminish.

Clauses affected: * 8.30.3 Point, 8.16.3 LinearRing, 8.17.3 LineString
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