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Preface

The FAA’s Aircraft Access to System Wide Information Management (AAtS) initiative is in its evolutionary stages of requirements and standards development. Its goal is to provide aircraft connectivity to the FAA’s System Wide Information Manager (SWIM) infrastructure to communicate/share aviation data and services. This connectivity will establish a common operating picture between the flight deck and air traffic control for collaborative strategic decision-making. Distribution of the vast amount of operational information, such as aviation information (AI), meteorological (MET) and air traffic management (ATM), needed to support the safe movement of aircraft during all phases of flight in the National Airspace System (NAS) will increase capacity, efficiency, and result in more timely departures and arrivals.

Similarly, there are a number of aviation standards in development by a variety of industry groups and committees (i.e., the RTCA Special Committee 206 (SC-206), ARINC 830 Aircraft/Ground Information Exchange (AGIE), and Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) standards) that are also pursuing the development of requirements and standards to leverage ground based aviation related information and data networking technology to support the operation of aircraft.

FAA and the aviation industry are moving towards consensus that electronic distribution of operational data and technical information will increase the NAS/airline productivity and efficiency and deliver a more positive passenger experience both domestically and internationally. However, there is a need to encourage harmonization of all of these efforts since the aforementioned aviation committees also represent global interests.

The AAtS Harmonization project has carried out a number of activities with the goals of

- Identifying and analyzing differences in requirements/standards undertaken by SC-206, AGIE, and OGC;
- Analyzing draft standards and recommending changes during SC-206 and AGIE standards development to improve harmonization prospects
- Developing a harmonized architecture within which SC-206, AGIE, and OGC standards complement one another to improve aircraft-ground communications

This document summarizes activities carried out during the AAtS Harmonization project. A companion document (OGC 14-073) describes the harmonized architecture that was developed during the project.
Executive Summary

Background

The FAA’s Systems Engineering, NAS Architecture organization sponsored an effort to harmonize industry standards with the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) as the lead. The effort was organized with a small core team responsible for developing strategy and products, and a larger industry wide team providing inputs, cross-industry perspectives and expertise in the industry standard efforts. Core team members were: FAA AAtS, OGC, Boeing, Panasonic, and North Star. Other key contributors were members of the AAtS Harmonization tiger team (see Annex A).

Tasks and Accomplishments

Project work focused on harmonizing AAtS with RTCA SC-206 (architecture and requirements), ARINC 830 Air Ground Information Exchange (AGIE) and OGC standards. Initial harmonization tasks included:

1. Analyzing existing standards;
2. Analyzing and shaping emerging standards;
3. Developing a harmonized architecture that addresses the functional requirements;
4. Formulating conclusions and recommendations to:
   a. update existing standards in order to address identified harmonization issues
   b. support decision processes of policy makers, government, and industry.

One of the major challenges of AAtS Harmonization revolves around emergent and existing standards from multiple organizations with differing priorities. These organizations naturally develop standards to address technical and operational priorities for their own stakeholder needs and from their own perspective. Project participants analyzed the purpose, scope, and overlap space of each standard or package of standards specifically from the perspective of the AAtS concept, giving special attention to standards representing conflicted priorities, interfaces, or operational services.

The harmonized architecture defines areas of overlaps and gaps defined by the standards intended uses, end user applications and key business requirements. The architecture defines interface areas and provides a view of a layered architecture that shows where the different applications, services and middleware functions interact and where out-of-scope but adjacent critical functions fit. In this layered view, AAtS and SC-206 standards provide both unique application-specific functionality and reusable middleware-services infrastructure. In the context of AAtS, use of AGIE and OGC standards falls mainly into the reusable infrastructure layer.

The architecture is also described in a system level view with nominal component topologies laid out between the information sources and consumers. These leverage AAtS SWIM extensions to SC-206 air-ground functions via a harmonized cross-domain ground
infrastructure and AGIE’s client-server features. The architecture describes key functional features that are common across the standards and where they might be allocated to provide an efficient and harmonized implementation. Key common requirements as well as conflicting ones are also identified.

Successful harmonization was achieved not only by architectural innovation, but also by the team’s efforts to influence the course of standards themselves during the project. Due to these efforts, the SC-206 and AAtS architectures are now more compatible and the AGIE standard is more compatible with both AAtS and SC-206.

Harmonization Impacts

This effort made critical impacts on several industry activities:

1. The ARINC 830 (AGIE) standard was modified to build in air traffic management functionality and meet ATM requirements.
2. The AAtS architecture was mapped directly to the RTCA SC-206 architecture and the AAtS Implementation Guidance Document (IGD) updated accordingly in v3.0 (harmonization phase 1).
3. The RTCA DO-349 recommendations standard was edited to be more inclusive of larger industry concepts.
4. Further, inputs and recommendations to the AAtS IGD will bring IGD v4.0 more in line with global industry needs and objectives (harmonization phase 2).
5. The harmonized architecture defined by industry efforts in this project will impact how SC-206, AGIE and OGC concepts and requirements fit in the AAtS architecture and business proposition in upcoming development efforts.
6. The AAtS prototype/demonstration document provides an outline and blueprint for building a proof-of-concept framework to show how AAtS can function in an integrated airline-defined solution.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The goal of the project to “harmonize standards and concepts within the AAtS trade space” was accomplished through outreach to and coordination in project activities amongst multiple standards team members from representative industry stakeholders. Project activities led to the following conclusions and recommendations in addition to those specific to the harmonized architecture that are presented in OGC document 14-073.

Project Conclusions

1. There is a missed opportunity to realize the full value of AAtS and the capabilities of services based off of NAS service / SWIM sourcing.
2. The value proposition for industry to implement AAtS is lacking.
3. Industry faces inherent uncertainty and risk in implementing products for AAtS as defined due to potentially incompatible approaches being taken for air-ground
information exchange elsewhere in the world. Global interoperability and therefore a global market is far from a given.

4. A harmonized architecture based on AAtS with SC-206, AGIE and OGC standards is achievable and provides a framework for deploying AAtS in a multi-domain solution.

5. Harmonization across these three standards in the AAtS architecture allows the benefits of the capabilities from each standard to be realized in a consistent implementation.

6. The AAtS architecture as defined in IGD v3.0 is too constraining to fully reflect the capabilities and benefits of the harmonized architecture.

**Project Recommendations**

1. Recommend incorporation into future updates of the AAtS IGD of an enhanced and broader architecture and environment based on the harmonization team review comments and recommendations.

2. Recommend the development and testing of a harmonized solution (prototype).

3. Recommend follow-on harmonization efforts to include other key industry standards.

4. Recommend follow on harmonization efforts to define global interoperability and interaction with other global efforts.

5. Recommend that the FAA develop an FAA-wide implementation plan to integrate AAtS to other elements within the NAS to bring near term value to aircraft operators.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose & Objective

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and industry are moving toward consensus that electronic distribution of operational data and technical information will improve shared situational awareness (SSA), increase National Airspace System (NAS) efficiency and deliver a more positive passenger experience both domestically and internationally. With that comes the need to identify harmonization opportunities across multiple standardization efforts. The purpose of the AAtS Harmonization activity has been to leverage the strengths of distinct but related standardization efforts in order to improve the scope, scale, and interoperability of aircraft-ground data communications under the auspices of the FAA AAtS concept and program.

The objective of this document is to summarize the range of events and products that have characterized the harmonization effort beyond the development of the harmonized architecture itself.

1.2 Scope

This OGC® document summarizes the Aircraft Access to SWIM (AAtS) Harmonization activity developed by a team funded by the FAA and led by the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC). The activity involved assembling a core team of industry participant experts to analyze and harmonize four standards suites and/or standards-based architectures relevant to air-ground information exchange:

- The Aircraft Access to SWIM (AAtS) concept,
- RTCA aeronautical information services (AIS) and meteorological (MET) information data link service committee’s (SC-206) concepts and standards,
- Air-Ground Information Exchange A830 (AGIE) standard and
- OGC standards and architectural perspectives.

Elements of this effort have included:

- Creation and public release of a Request for Information
- Analysis of the fits and overlaps between the four standards suites
- Engagement with ongoing standards development efforts to reduce incompatibilities
Creation of a broader industry “Tiger team” to review and provide feedback on activities and outcomes
Development of a harmonized system architecture
Creation and delivery of documentation for a harmonized AAtS prototype
Creation of a working paper and presentation for submission to ICAO

1.3 Document contributor contact points

All questions regarding this document should be directed to the editor or the contributors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>George Percivall</td>
<td>Open Geospatial Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannes Echterhoff</td>
<td>interactive instruments GmbH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Lieberman</td>
<td>Tumbling Walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt de Ris</td>
<td>Panasonic Avionics Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Wilber</td>
<td>Boeing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 Revision history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Release</th>
<th>Editor</th>
<th>Primary clauses modified</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 15, 2014</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Joshua Lieberman</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>First complete draft from material previously in architecture report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 25, 2014</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Joshua Lieberman</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Response and update following August F2F meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 3, 2014</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Joshua Lieberman</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Editorial updates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 Future work

In the course of carrying out the AAtS Harmonization activity, a number of opportunities for valuable follow-on activities were identified:

- Testing and validation of the recommended AAtS architecture through one or more prototyping activities;
- Extension of harmonization down into lower architectural layers (transport, data link);
- International outreach and harmonization with other SWIM and AAtS systems that are being designed and developed;
- Development of business value propositions for additional stakeholders (for example, value for aircraft operators);
- Identification and development of additional use cases for aircraft-ground communications; and
 Development and testing of additional OGC standards and standard profiles relating specifically to aviation and AAtS, such as a Data Management Services (DMS) specification.

Continued outreach via the harmonization tiger team to ensure industry participation in AAtS evolution, such as defining inputs to future versions of AAtS IGD, presentation and message-to-industry activities (RTCA, AEEC executive committee (SAI), and other relevant activities.

1.6 Foreword

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

2 References

The following documents are referenced in this document. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.

- ARINC 830 Air/Ground Information Exchange (AGIE)
- FAA Aircraft Access to SWIM (AAtS) Implementation Guidance Document (IGD) v3.0
- FAA Aircraft Access to SWIM (AAtS) Concept of Operations (ConOps) v1.0
- RTCA DO-340 Concept of Use for Aeronautical Information Services (AIS) and Meteorological (MET) Data Link Services
- RTCA DO-349 Architecture Recommendations for Aeronautical Information (AI) and Meteorological (MET) Data Link Services
- OGC Document 14-073 AAtS Harmonization Architecture Report

3 Summary and chronology of Activities and Products

The OGC AAtS Harmonization project conducted these major events and activities:

- 2013-07-01: Project Start
- 2013-09-23: Request for Information (RFI) announced via press release
- 2013-10-01: RFI Information Webinar
2013-12-17/18: Community Workshop, Washington (Tiger Team meeting #1)
2013-12-25: First draft of AAtS Harmonization Report
2014-01-09: Workshop feedback (on Survey Monkey)
2014-03-14: First draft of Prototype Architecture
2014-04-10/11: Tiger Team meeting #2
2014-05-19: Prototype architecture and ROM transmitted to FAA
2014-07-30/31: Tiger Team meeting #3
2014-08-29: All project deliverables complete

The project produced these major deliverables that were transmitted to FAA and / or released publicly:

- “Request for Information (RFI) for Guidance on AAtS Standards Harmonization,” RFI Issuance Date: 23 September 2013
- “AAtS Prototype Outline” delivered 19 May 2014.
- “AAtS Harmonization Architecture Report” – OGC document 14-073
- “AAtS Harmonization Project Summary – this document

Presentation materials for the events listed above were also produced and distributed to team members and participating organizations.

4 Request for Information and Community Workshop

A Request for Information (RFI) was released by OGC on 23 September 2013 and was intended to engage the community in identifying standards efforts relevant to the provision of aircraft connectivity to the FAA’s SWIM infrastructure to communicate/share aviation data and services, as planned in the FAA’s Aircraft Access to System Wide Information Management (AAtS) initiative.

The RFI was supported by the following organizations in addition to OGC:

The FAA’s Aircraft Access to System Wide Information Management (AAtS) initiative aims to provide aircraft connectivity to the FAA’s SWIM infrastructure to communicate/share aviation data and services in order to establish a common operating environment between the flight deck, air traffic management, and AOC/FOC (Airline / Flight Operations Communication) for collaborative strategic decision-making.

The RTCA Special Committee (SC) 206 (http://www.rtca.org) develops technology agnostic concepts, architectures, and requirement standards to define Aeronautical Information Services (AIS) and Meteorological (MET) Data Link Services to and from aircraft in support of future air traffic management concepts.
The ARINC AGIE/MAGIC subcommittee (http://www.aviation-ia.com/aeec/) leads ARINC Project Paper 830 - Aircraft/Ground Information Exchange, establishing a standard for a non-proprietary application level information interchange framework. This includes protocols, functions, and interfaces that enable application-to-application information exchange between aircraft and ground applications in a universal manner.

Organizations responding to this RFI contributed information on:

- Technologies, standards and general trends relevant to AAtS
- Practical recommendations on harmonization opportunities and challenges
- Insights on how to best craft an interim path for the community and to develop a technical architecture that is forward thinking and can accommodate this emerging and evolving environment.
- Global harmonization considerations

Responses were received from WMO, Honeywell, and Harris Corporation. Information provided in the RFI responses guided the discussion at a community workshop held 17-18 December 2013 in Washington DC. The workshop also built on aviation information exchange work done in OGC Web Services (OWS) Testbeds 9 and 10.

Workshop discussion topics included:

- Use cases and intended uses for AAtS
- Information flow between and within air and ground systems
- Key AAtS requirements

Material on AGIE, SC-206, and OGC standards were also presented. The workshop commenced AAtS standards architecture analysis and harmonization work as well as increased engagement with relevant standards groups.
5 Standards Harmonization Outreach

Members of the AAtS Harmonization activity core team engaged with the following standards development groups in order to improve the prospects for harmonization of the various standards suites:

- ARINC 830 (AGIE) was materially altered to include concepts that allowed alignment with the architecture being put together by RTCA SC206.

- RTCA SC206’s DO-349 was edited heavily with input from participants developing A830 to include broader data link architectural topologies and concepts resulting in significant changes to the basis of future work for that group.

- IGD v3.0 was materially changed, anticipating significant changes in v4.0.
6 Prototype Outline and Plan

The AAtS Harmonization team delivered to FAA a prototype outline and comprehensive plan describing a harmonized AAtS system prototype and demonstration. The document provides prototype and demonstration objectives, along with purpose and approach. It contains detailed descriptions of topology, components, demonstration scenarios and scripts, along with conceptual data definitions and operator interactions.

7 Harmonized Architecture

The AAtS Harmonization team produced an engineering report on the developed harmonized architectures (OGC document 14-073). This report focuses on the harmonization of AAtS as described in FAA IGD v3.0 with RTCA SC-206 (architecture and requirements), ARINC 830 Air Ground Information Exchange (AGIE) and OGC standards. Harmonization tasks included:

1. Analyzing and shaping existing as well as emerging standards;
2. Developing a harmonized architecture that addresses the functional requirements; and
3. Formulating conclusions and recommendations to:
   a. address identified harmonization issues
   b. support decision processes of policy makers, government, and industry

This report documents:

- a harmonized architecture based upon concepts and standards from FAA AAtS, RTCA SC-206, ARINC 830 (AGIE) and OGC
- a new, recommended, high-level system architecture that both updates and expands the scope of the harmonized architecture
The AAtS Harmonization team has continued its outreach activities by distilling the results and recommendations of the project into a working paper for submission to ICAO, together with materials for a presentation to ICAO by FAA. The working paper proposes that a unified ICAO SWIM-aircraft connectivity position be developed based on the concepts and architecture developed in the AAtS Harmonization activity before the various solutions currently in development drift further apart in their ability to efficiently interoperate.

9 Conclusions and Recommendations

The goal of the project to “harmonize standards and concepts within the AAtS trade space” was accomplished through outreach to and coordination in project activities amongst multiple standards team members from representative industry stakeholders. Project activities led to the following conclusions and recommendations in addition to those specific to the harmonized architecture that are presented in OGC document 14-073.

Project Conclusions

1. There is a missed opportunity to realize the full value of AAtS and the capabilities of services based off of NAS service/SWIM sourcing. As envisioned and supported by RTCA standards the AAtS architecture can support a range of criticalities. There is a perception within the FAA that if information is sourced from SWIM or it does not build or contract for the delivery system, then the end-to-end system should not support higher critical uses. This perception is contradicted by existing commercial systems that can support safety critical functionality (e.g., RCP240/RSP180 oceanic and remote CPDLC and ADS-C). Additionally, both AGIE and SC-206 contain capabilities that can directly or indirectly support air traffic services (ATS) and communicate with the ACD.

2. The value proposition for AAtS to the industry is lacking – Lack of information about services, lack of services with operational value, insufficient benefit definition of why SWIM based information services versus traditional sourcing makes the question of “why AAtS?” difficult to answer. There is an identified opportunity to make aircraft operators and general aircraft operations more efficient which cannot be realized without AAtS integration into the NAS systems.

3. Uncertainty and inherent industry risk exists for implementing AAtS due to lack of global interoperability – Any commercial entity that intends to put AAtS into
practice will limit the number of technology stacks that must be implemented while maximizing its customer base and customer offering. There are other similar global efforts underway to define the SWIM connectivity options to aircraft. It is, currently, not at all certain that these other concepts will be interoperable with the FAA’s plan. This inherent risk, both technological as well as monetary, induces hesitancy in would-be AAtS implementers.

4. A harmonized architecture based on AAtS with SC-206, AGIE and OGC standards is achievable and provides a framework for deploying AAtS in a multi-domain solution.

5. Harmonization across these three standards in the AAtS architecture allows the features of each standard to be implemented together in a consistent system.

6. The AAtS architecture as defined in IGD v3.0 is too constraining and needs to be updated and expanded in v4.0.

**Project Recommendations**

1. Recommend revision of the AAtS IGD v3.0 based on the team review comments and recommendations as well as the recommended system architecture presented in the architecture report. As discussed previously, the team has performed a detailed industry-based review of the guidance found in the AAtS IGD v3.0. Updated implementation guidance will provide value to all stakeholders involved in deploying an AAtS solution. The industry representatives within the team recommend the adoption and incorporation of team input that includes the following concepts:
   - Non-SWIM data sourcing inclusion in the architecture of AAtS
   - A role for safety critical applications within the AAtS trade space
   - Updates to the AAtS architecture to facilitate more recognizable industry business value and more achievable global interoperability

2. Recommend development and testing of a prototype implementation of the harmonized architecture – a prototype is necessary to show industry value as well as technical readiness in a harmonized AAtS solution that leverages industry standards as well as to spur industry adoption. Prototyping efforts often lead to industry practices that motivate additional standards development.

3. Recommend follow-on harmonization work covering additional industry standards – while the work performed under this effort was worthwhile and has resulted in significant progress towards achieving interoperable solutions, it cannot be considered complete or comprehensive across the industry or even the complete AAtS architecture. Within the constraints of a realistic budget, it is recommended that further work investigate harmonization issues with ARINC 841 MIAM, ARINC 839 MAGIC, selected EFB standards, and others as recommended by the RFI workshop and Tiger Team participants.

---

2 The first three recommendations are drawn from the Architecture Report (OGC 14-073)
4. Recommend follow-on harmonization with other global efforts – the FAA’s AAtS is the closest to implementation and as such should continue to embrace international leadership of the SWIM to aircraft concept paradigm. The differences between the potential approaches of other state aviation agencies indicate that on a long enough timeline, it is likely that the FAA’s current concepts may need to change or risk becoming non-interoperable. Harmonization includes: applications, intended uses, requirements, timelines, architectures, standards, and business models.

5. Recommend FAA-developed FAA-wide implementation plan to integrate AAtS to other elements within the NAS to bring near term values to aircraft operators. This includes such items as:
   a. Align NAS Program Concept of Operations with AAtS Concept of Operations
   b. Integrating AAtS into the next NextGen Segment Implementation Plan (NSIP) Operational Improvements (OIs) based off of the uses described in the AAtS Concept of Operations. Details include:
      i. schedule
      ii. adequate funding
      iii. necessary capabilities
   c. Identifying the specific NAS programs that will leverage AAtS capabilities and integrating AAtS into their implementation plans

It should be recognized that industry foresees a direct and high value potential for AAtS. In fact the industry believes the full capacity of operational functionality of AAtS is wider than currently envisaged. In order to achieve the perceived potential, further work is suggested.
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